

March 4, 1976

The possibilities that go with this, for the next year or so down the road in this Legislature, of getting laws to spread out the use of IDA bonds really has very little limits if this bill is passed and this amendment would be passed by the voters.

The biggest objection I have in the whole area is the fuzzed up wording which was very carefully worked out for a great deal of time so that you have to read the words over and over to be sure you have the right meaning.

I think on the exemption of retail it may take a real good court test to find out whether you can set up this shopping center. The downtown merchants in many of these towns that are already in trouble will have competitors set up and funded by IDA bonds.

The limits here are very unclear the way the reference words come about through the language. I think it's totally unfair to put to the voters a wording that I'll bet that if you read it over several times you'll have questions in it yourself. To put out a very clever and calculated system of wording this to tremendously broaden the scope of financing in this state....

We have had, in this state, a history, in our Constitution, where we have not supported private enterprise, we have eroded it some, but to support it with tax advantage bonds. We're making a whole system change. If we go ahead with this we're changing our whole concept of government. To engage in this lightly, where it came off General File with 25 votes last Friday, I think is a most serious mistake this Legislature could make this year.

If the farmers in this room want to feed cattle against advantaged operations, they should vote for this. If they want the packers to feed cattle that they can use against them to be advantaged over the farmers, ... the farmers can't borrow on it but the packers can.

I really hope you'll take a serious look at this bill and vote to indefinitely postpone this measure. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: The question is shall LB 17 ... no 667 be indef.... Senator Goodrich.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Mr. President, members of the body. I rise in opposition to the kill motion. This is not the big bugaboo that Senator Fowler and Senator Burrows would lead you to believe. For example, Senator Fowler indicated that this would open up the use of IDA bonds for the building of apartments and a whole myriad of other things.

All we're doing is expanding what IDA bonds can be used for now. They can be used for manufacturing and industrial enterprises now. This is nothing new to the State of Nebraska. What we are doing here is expanding it ... asking the people for authorization, rather, to expand the use of IDA bonds for commercial or business enterprises, except that they cannot use it for retail enterprises, but are primarily retail sales establishments. The reason we